

Bibliography

- Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers (2017). *ATSA practice guidelines for assessment, treatment, and intervention with adolescents who have engaged in sexually abusive behavior*. Beaverton, OR: ATSA.
- Bolton, J. W. (2014). Case formulation after Engel—The 4P model: A philosophical case conference. *Philosophy, Psychiatry, & Psychology*, 21(3), 179–189.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/ppp.2014.0027>
- Bonta, J. (2023). Training community supervision officers in the risk-need-responsivity model of offender rehabilitation: A review and implications. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 62(1), 39–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10509674.2022.2158986>
- Bonta, J. and Andrews, D.A. (2024). *The Psychology of Criminal Conduct (7th ed.)*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Borum, R., & Verhaagen, D. (2006). *Assessing and managing violence risk in juveniles*. The Guilford Press.
- Clarke, M. C., Peterson-Badali, M., & Skilling, T. A. (2017). The relationship between changes in dynamic risk factors and the predictive validity of risk assessments among youth offenders. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 44(10), 1340–1355.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854817719915>
- Cuevas, C., Wolff, K.T. & Baglivio, M.T. (2019). Dynamic risk factors and timing of recidivism for youth in residential placement. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 60, 154-166.
- Drawbridge, D., Todorovic, K., Winters, G.M., and Vincent, G.M. (2019). Implementation of Risk-Need-Responsivity Principles Into Probation Case Planning. *Law and Human Behavior*, 43(5), 455-467.
- Epperson, D. L., & Ralston, C. A. (2014). Development and Validation of the Juvenile Sexual Offense Recidivism Risk Assessment Tool—II. *Sexual Abuse*, 27(6), 529-558. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1079063213514452>
- Haqanee, Z., Peterson-Badali, M., & Skilling, T. (2014). Making “What Works” Work: Examining Probation Officers’ Experiences Addressing the Criminogenic Needs of Juvenile Offenders. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 54(1), 37–59.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10509674.2014.980485>
- Hart, S. D., & Logan, C. (2011). Formulation of violence risk using evidence-based assessments: The structured professional judgment approach. In P. Sturmey & M. McMurrin (Eds.), *Forensic case formulation* (pp. 83-106). Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell. [ISBN: 978-0-470-68395-8]

-
- Hart, S., Sturmey, P., Logan, C., & McMurran, M. (2011). Forensic case formulation. *The International Journal of Forensic Mental Health, 10*(2), 118–126.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14999013.2011.577137>
- Heilbrun, K., Dvoskin, J., Hart, S., & McNiel, D. (1999). Violence risk communication: Implications for research, policy, and practice. *Health, Risk & Society, 1*(1), 91–105.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13698579908407009>
- Hiscox, S. P., Witt, P. H., & Haran, S. J. (2007). Juvenile Risk Assessment Scale (JRAS): A predictive validity study. *The Journal of Psychiatry & Law, 35*(4), 503–539. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009318530703500406>
- Hoge, R.D. (2016). Application of Precharge Diversion Programs: Juvenile Court and Diversion. *Criminology & Public Policy, 15* (3), 991–999.
- Kapoor, A., Peterson-Badali, M., & Skilling, T. (2018). Barriers to service provision for justice-involved youth. *Criminal Justice and Behavior, 45*(12), 1832–1851.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854818794754>
- Kuyken, W., Padesky, C. A., & Dudley, R. (2008). The science and practice of case conceptualization. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 36*(6), 757–768. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465808004815>
- Logan, C. (2014). The HCR-20 Version 3: A case study in risk formulation. *The International Journal of Forensic Mental Health, 13*(2), 172–180.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14999013.2014.906516>
- McCormick, S., Peterson-Badali, M., & Skilling, T. (2016). The Role of Mental Health and Specific Responsivity in Juvenile Justice Rehabilitation. *Law and Human Behavior, 41*(1), 55–67.
- Miccio-Fonseca, L. C. (2012). *Multiplex Empirically Guided Inventory of Ecological Aggregates for Assessing Sexually Abusive Children and Adolescents (Ages 19 and Under)*—MEGAJ [Measurement instrument]. Author.
- Nelson, R. J., & Vincent, G. M. (2018). Matching services to criminogenic needs following comprehensive risk assessment implementation in juvenile probation. *Criminal Justice and Behavior, 45*(8), 1136–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854818780923>
- Peterson-Badali, M., Skilling, T., & Haqanee, Z. (2015). Examining implementation of risk assessment in case management for youth in the justice system. *Criminal Justice and Behavior, 42*, 304–320.
- Perrault, R. T., Vincent, G. M., & Guy, L. S. (2017). Are risk assessments racially biased?: Field study of the SAVRY and YLS/CMI in probation. *Psychological Assessment, 29*(6), 664–678. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000445>

- Prentky, R.A., & Righthand, S. (2003). *Juvenile Sex Offender Assessment Protocol-II (J-SOAP-II): Manual*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Justice.
- Rich, P. (2017, November 5). J-RAT: Juvenile Risk Assessment Tool, version 4. Retrieved June 16, 2021 from <http://www.philrich.net/risk-assessment-instruments.html>
- Righthand, S., Worling, J. R., Prentky, R. A., & Kang, T. (2020). *Youth Needs and Progress Scale & User Guide*. Fairleigh Dickinson University. <https://www.ncsby.org/sites/default/files/Youth%20Needs%20and%20Progress%20Scale-%20July%207,%202020.pdf>
- Tarpey, E, Stephenson, Z & Barker, R (2023). Risk formulation in forensic practice: a review of the evidence. *British Journal of Forensic Practice*, 25,(3), 243-262. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFP-12-2022-0064>
- Viljoen, J. L., Jonnson, M. R., Cochrane, D. M., Vargen, L. M., & Vincent, G. M. (2019). Impact of risk assessment instruments on rates of pretrial detention, postconviction placements, and release: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Law and Human Behavior*, 43(5), 397–420. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000344>
- Viljoen, J. L., Mordell, S., & Beneteau, J. L. (2012). Prediction of adolescent sexual reoffending: A meta-analysis of the J-SOAP-II, ERASOR, J-SORRAT-II, and Static-99. *Law and Human Behavior*, 36(5), 423–438. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0093938>
- Vincent, G. M., Drawbridge, D., & Perrault, R. (2019). *Long-term cost effectiveness of risk-needs-assessment and risk-need-responsivity (RNR) reforms in juvenile probation: The long-term RNR impact study* (Technical report). Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.
- Vincent, G. M., Skeem, J. L., & Weber, J. (2024). *Youth Protective Factors Study: Effective Supervision and Services Based on Risks, Strengths, and Development*. UMass Chan Medical School. <https://www.umassmed.edu/lawandpsychiatry/law-and-psychiatry-research/NIJ-Youth-Protective-Factor-Study/>
- Vincent, G. M., Perrault, R. T., Guy, L. S., & Gershenson, B. G. (2012). Developmental issues in risk assessment: Implications for juvenile justice. *Victims & Offenders*, 7(4), 364–384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15564886.2012.713900>
- Watt, K. (2017). *Risk formulation*. CONCEPT. <https://concept.paloaltou.edu/course/Risk-Formulation>
- Wheable V, Davies J. Forensic Case Formulation (2024) Investigating the effectiveness of forensic case formulation recommendations. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 30(8), 823–841.
- Worling, J.R. (2017). *Protective + Risk Observations For Eliminating Sexual Offense Recidivism (PROFESOR)*. <http://www.drjamesworling.com/profesor.html>

-
- Worling, J. R., & Curwen, T. (2001). Estimate of Risk of Adolescent Sexual Offense Recidivism (ERASOR; Version 2.0). In M. C. Calder (Ed.), *Juveniles and children who sexually abuse: Frameworks for assessment* (pp. 372–397). Russell House Publishing.
- Worling, J. R., & Minske, P. (2024). Protective + risk observations for eliminating sexual offense recidivism (PROFESOR): Rationale for development and an initial investigation of reliability. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 31(1), 134-147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2024.2332434>